# **EXHIBIT 2**

## Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 950-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 2 of 6 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q Because of the privilege instruction?
2	A Because of privilege, yes.
3	Q Okay. So we've discussed focusing on the
4	issues well, let me let me start over.
5	We were talking about Mr. Kalanick's 11:17:31
6	involvement in the negotiations of the acquisition
7	of Otto. And you said he was involved in talks that
8	were founder to founder; he was in the room when
9	there were discussions of price and other deal
10	terms; he was consulted with respect to Otto's slow 11:17:47
11	delivery of products; and the general process of
12	closing and announcements.
13	Is there anything else that Mr. Kalanick
14	was involved in with respect to the acquisition?
15	A Yes, getting board approval. 11:18:02
16	Q And what was his involvement in that?
17	A He and Cam explained to the board about the
18	deal.
19	Q And were you involved in in that?
20	A Only some of it. 11:18:27
21	Q Okay. What how were you involved in the
22	explanation to the board of directors about the
23	acquisition?
24	A I created the initial draft of the board
25	slides, and I was in the board meeting. 11:18:43
	Page 100

## Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 950-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 3 of 6 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q	Was there just one meeting?	
2	А	To my knowledge, yes.	
3	Q	And you attended that meeting?	
4	А	Yes.	
5	Q	You said you drafted slides for that	11:19:05
6	meeting;	is that right?	
7	А	Yes.	
8	Q	Are those slides that were used at the	
9	meeting?		
10	А	A version of it, yes.	11:19:16
11	Q	Okay. You did the first draft, and	
12	ultimate	ly there were slides based on that draft	
13	that were	e presented at the meeting?	
14	А	Yes, that's correct.	
15	Q	What was discussed at that board meeting	11:19:25
16	with resp	pect to the acquisition?	
17		MR. JACOBS: You can answer that, of	
18	course -	- well, hold off on any legal topics for the	
19	moment -	-	
20		THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm.	
21		MR. JACOBS: and we'll figure out if	
22	there wer	re any legal topics, but otherwise, you can	
23	answer tl	ne question.	
24		THE WITNESS: We explained the deal and the	
25	deal term	ms and discussed general legal items.	11:19:45
			Page 101

## Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 950-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 4 of 6 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	BY MS. ROBERTS:			
2	Q Other than, obviously, the board,			
3	Mr. Kalanick, Mr. Poetzscher, and yourself, was			
4	there anybody else at this meeting?			
5	A Yes. 11:20:13			
6	Q Who else was there?			
7	A Salle Yoo, Gautam Gupta. That's all I can			
8	remember, but I don't know if that's a comprehensive			
9	list.			
10	Q In the the timeline of the 11:20:31			
11	negotiations all right. Let me start.			
12	When was the board meeting?			
13	A I think before we signed. I don't I			
14	don't know specifics.			
15	Q You think it was before you signed, so 11:20:47			
16	sometime before April 11th, 2016?			
17	A Yes.			
18	Q How much earlier than signing was the board			
19	meeting that you attended?			
20	A They were relatively closely connected. 11:21:02			
21	Q Were all members of the board there?			
22	A I don't know.			
23	Q What so you testified that you explained			
24	to the board of directors the deal and its terms; is			
25	that right? 11:21:29			
	Page 102			

## Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 950-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 5 of 6 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A Yes.		
2	Q To the best of your recollection, what		
3	exactly was conveyed to the board about the deal and		
4	its terms?		
5	A The price, the details behind the price,	L:21:40	
6	the fact that there are two separate transactions,		
7	and why we wanted to do this deal.		
8	Q When you say "the details behind the		
9	price," what are you what do you mean by that?		
10	A For instance, on the Ottomotto piece, there 11	L:22:05	
11	were technical milestones, so the price was		
12	contingent on achievement of technical milestones.		
13	So details like that.		
14	Q What was the overall price that you told		
15	the board for the deal?	1:22:23	
16	A That it was roughly for the Otto deal,		
17	roughly around 12 million shares of Uber stock at		
18	valued at current Series G price.		
19	Q So what was the dollar value at the time?		
20	A Around 590.	1:22:46	
21	Q Million?		
22	A Yes, 590 million.		
23	Q And you said that was for the Ottomotto		
24	piece, right?		
25	A Yes.	1:22:56	
	Pag	ge 103	

## Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 950-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 6 of 6 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Q So that	excludes Otto Trucking?	
2	A Yes.		
3	Q You sa:	id you also explained to the board	
4	why you wanted t	to do the deal?	
5	A Yes.		11:23:06
6	Q What	- what did your team say?	
7	A That Ar	nthony had built a team of very	
8	talented engines	ers; that this could potentially help	
9	accelerate our A	AV development efforts.	
10	Q Anythir	ng else?	11:23:35
11	A That wa	as the general theme. He said they	
12	were very talented engineers.		
13	Q As of t	the time of this board meeting, had	
14	Anthony assemble	ed his team of talented engineers?	
15	A My unde	erstanding that he was in the process	11:23:54
16	of assembling.		
17	Q Did he	have any engineers on board as of	
18	the time of this	s board meeting?	
19	A Based	on my understanding, yes.	
20	Q But not	the full team?	11:24:10
21	A Based	on my understanding, that's correct.	
22	Q When yo	ou conveyed to the board of directors	
23	that Anthony had	d built a team of talented engineers,	
24	how did you dete	ermine that the engineers were	
25	talented?		11:24:29
			Page 104
	I and the second		